

3. To which Site Allocation Representation do your comments relate? (See the Site Allocation Representations Register for the site number.)

Site Number		Site name /location	
-------------	--	------------------------	--

4. Please use the space below to set out your representation.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Large empty rectangular box for writing the representation.

5. Would you prefer your representation to be considered by written representations or do you consider it necessary to attend the examination to present evidence in support of your objection?

Please tick one:

Written Representations

Attend Examination

Please note the Inspector will attach equal weight to written representations as to those representations heard in person.

6. If you wish to attend the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to attend the Examination.

Signature:

Date

Annex 1

	Procedural Tests
P1	It has been prepared in accordance with the Delivery Agreement including the Community Involvement Scheme.
P2	The plan and its policies have been subjected to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment.
	Consistency Tests
C1	It is a land use plan which has regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
C2	It has regard to national policy.
C3	It has regard to the Wales Spatial Plan.
C4	It has regard to the relevant community strategy/ies (and National Park Management Plan).
	Coherence and Effectiveness Tests
CE1	The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and/or, where cross boundary issues are relevant, it is compatible with the development plans prepared by neighbouring authorities.
CE2	The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and/or are founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
CE3	There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
CE4	It is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Annex 2

Guidance notes to assist with completion of the form

What is this consultation about?

During the consultation on the Revised Deposit LDP a number of comments were made about the proposed LDP allocations (sites for development) and some alternative sites have been suggested. These are known as **Site Allocation Representations**.

The Town and Country Planning (Local Development Plan) (Wales) 2005 Regulations state that Site Allocation Representations that seek to change the Deposit LDP should be advertised for a six week period. During this time, anyone can make comments about the Site Allocation Representations and submit them to the Local Planning Authority.

The Site Allocation Representations included in the Site Allocation Representation Register were received during the 2009 consultation on the LDP Deposit Plan which finished on 3rd July 2009 and the more recent consultation on the Revised Deposit Plan which finished on 26th April 2011.

There are four types of Site Allocation Representation:

1. Delete a site allocated in the Deposit LDP
2. Add a new site for a specified land use to the Deposit LDP
3. Alter the boundaries of a site allocated in the Deposit LDP or amend details of the site.
4. Amend the Settlement Boundary of the Deposit LDP

The Site Allocation Representation Register lists each site on an area by area basis and provides information on the type (as above) of representation that was made.

Important - Please note that any comments you make at this stage should relate only to the Site Allocation Representations that have already been made during the previous consultations on the original Deposit LDP and subsequent Revised Deposit LDP. They should not propose further changes to the LDP, or suggest new sites. Any such representations will be disregarded as they should have been made during the earlier stages of plan preparation.

Tests of Soundness:

It would be helpful if you could make clear in section 4 of this form which test(s) of soundness you consider the Site Allocation Representation fails. The tests are in 3 groups – ‘procedural’ (2 tests); ‘conformity’ (4 tests); and ‘coherence and effectiveness’ (4 tests). A brief explanation of these tests is set out in Annex 1.

If you are seeking to make representations on the way in which the Site Allocation Representation has been prepared, it is likely that your comments or objections should be set out under one of the ‘procedural’ tests. If it is the actual content on which you wish to comment or object you should look at the ‘consistency’ and the ‘coherence and effectiveness’ tests.

Whilst you should try to make clear which soundness test you consider the Site Allocation Representation fails, failure to identify a test in relation to your objection will not lead to your representation being disregarded, providing it relates to the Site Allocation Representation and it is clear what change you are seeking.

Where a group shares a common view on an alternative site representation, it would be helpful for that group to send a single representation, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate

representations repeating the same point. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.

Publication of your comments:

Please note that all comments received will be made available publically, published on the Councils website and will be available to the Planning Inspector at the time of the Examination on the LDP.